High Activity of Pt/AlPO₄ Catalyst for Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitrogen Monoxide by Propene in Excess Oxygen

Ryuta Fujii, Masashi Seki, Jun Shinoda, Noriyasu Okazaki, and Akio Tada*

Department of Applied and Environmental Chemistry, Kitami Institute of Technology, Koencho, Kitami 090-8507

(Received April 30, 2003; CL-030366)

The activity of $Pt(0.5 wt\%)/AIPO_4$ for C_3H_6 -SCR was found to be much higher than that of $Pt(0.5 wt\%)/AI_2O_3$; although the selectivity toward N_2O of both the catalysts were comparable, the maximal conversion of NO to N_2 by $Pt(0.5 wt\%)/AIPO_4$ was higher than that by $Pt(0.5 wt\%)/AI_2O_3$, and moreover the temperature window of the former located in lower side than that of the latter. The superiority of $Pt(0.5 wt\%)/AIPO_4$ was discussed on the basis of the results of XPS measurements.

The selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen monoxide by hydrocarbons(HC) in excess oxygen (HC-SCR) has attracted a great deal of attention as one of promising deNO_x processes.¹ The HC-SCR was demonstrated over copper ion-exchanged zeolites by Iwamoto et al.^{2,3} and Held et al.⁴ These findings made a beginning of succeeding research, and by which many effective catalysts such as zeolites, metal oxides, and supported noble metals have been found.⁵ Alumina, one of the metal oxides catalysts, is frequently used as a catalyst or catalyst support because of its excellent selectivity toward to N₂ and its durability.⁶

Amorphous aluminum phosphate (AlPO₄) has as high surface area as alumina,^{7,8} and has also acid sites^{7,8} which are considered to be required for HC-SCR catalysts such as alumina.⁵ There has, however, been very few report on AlPO₄ as a catalyst or catalyst support for HC-SCR.^{5–9}

In the present study, therefore, the activity of Pt-loaded AlPO₄ for C_3H_6 -SCR was examined, and it was found for the first time that Pt-loaded AlPO₄ was more active than Pt-loaded Al₂O₃. The reason was also discussed on the basis of XPS studies of the catalysts and their activities for several elementary reactions composing C_3H_6 -SCR.

All Pt-loaded catalysts were prepared by impregnating aluminum phosphate (AlPO₄), alumina, or silica with an aqueous solution of $H_2PtCl_6 \cdot 6H_2O$. The solvent evaporation took place while stirring and in a vacuum (water pump) at 50 °C until the solid seemed dry, followed by drying at 120 °C for 24 h, and heating at 500 °C for 4 h in air. Aluminum phosphate (amorphous, $130 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ after calcination at $500 \circ \text{C}$) was prepared by heating an aqueous solution containing a mixture of aluminum nitrate, orthophosphoric acid and urea at 95 °C to form a precipitate, followed by washing, drying at 120 °C for 24 h and calcining at 500 °C for 4 h. Alumina(γ -Al₂O₃, $143 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ after calcination at 800 °C) was prepared by hydrolyzing aluminum triisopropoxide at 5 °C with stirring, followed by drying at 120 °C for 24 h, and calcining in air at 800 °C for 24 h. Silica (JRC-SIO-8, $303 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) was supplied from the Catalysis Society of Japan. The above catalysts were ground to a powder, and then pressed into pellets followed by crushing, sieving (355–500 $\mu m),$ and calcining at 500 $^\circ C$ for 4 h in air before use.

Catalytic reactions were carried out at temperatures ranging from 200 to 500 °C with a fixed-bed flow reactor by passing a reactant gas mixture at a total flow rate of 80 mL·min⁻¹ through a catalyst bed under atmospheric pressure. 0.40 g of a catalyst was packed between quartz-wool plugs in a tubular quartz reactor (6 mm i.d.). The gas mixture contained 1000 or 0 ppm NO, 2.0 or 5.0% O₂, and 1000 or 0 ppm C₃H₆ diluted in helium. The outflow gas was analyzed by gas chromatography using a Molecular Sieve 5A (3 m; separation for N₂, O₂, CO, and NO) and Porapak Q (3 m; separation for CO₂, C₃H₆, and N₂O) columns.

With a Rigaku Denki XPS-7000 spectrometer, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed using a Mg K α or Al K α X-ray source operated at 10 kV and 30 mA. The binding energies were corrected by using the value of 285.0 eV for the C 1s level resulting from the contaminated carbon. The reproducibilities of the values thus obtained were within ± 0.2 eV.

Figure 1 shows conversions of NO to N₂ and N₂O in C₃H₆-SCR over Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ and Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃. The maximal NO conversion by Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ was higher than that by Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃; moreover, the selectivity toward N₂O of both catalysts was comparable. In addition, the temperature window of the former located at lower side by 100 °C than that of the latter; Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ is more active than Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃ at lower temperatures. Interestingly, with Pt(0.5 wt%)/SiO₂, the temperature of maximum NO conversion was 250 °C, being the same as that of Pt/AlPO₄, although its maximum NO conversion was 3% and its conversion to N₂O at 250 °C was 16% (not shown). Figure 2 shows conversions of C₃H₆ to CO₂ in C₃H₆-SCR as well as in the oxidation of



Figure 1. Catalytic activity of Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ (\bigcirc , \Box) and Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃ (\bullet , \blacksquare) for C₃H₆-SCR.



Figure 2. Conversions of C_3H_6 to CO_2 in C_3H_6 -SCR (\bigcirc , \bullet) and $C_3H_6+O_2$ (\Box , \blacksquare) reaction over Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ (\bigcirc , \Box) and Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃ (\bullet , \blacksquare).

C₃H₆ with O₂ over the two catalysts, where conversion to CO was negligible. Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ had much higher oxidation activity than Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃ for both reactions. In addition, curves showing conversion of C₃H₆ to CO₂ in C₃H₆-SCR over both catalysts located at higher temperature side than those of the oxidation of C₃H₆ with O₂, indicating that the presence of NO inhibited the oxidation of C₃H₆ with O₂. Interestingly, the distance between the corresponding pair of the curves of Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ was narrower than that of Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ at a temperature for the NO reduction with C₃H₆ was higher than that of Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al2O₃. Figure 3 illustrates conversions of NO to NO₂ in the oxidation of NO with O₂, which is known to be closely related to HC-SCR.^{10,11} In this reaction also, Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ is by far superior to Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃.

Figure 4 shows XPS Pt4d spectra of Pt/AlPO₄, Pt/Al₂O₃, Pt/SiO₂, and Pt wire, where their peak intensities are normalized by using the O1s peak intensities. Any XPS Pt4d spectrum of a Pt-loaded catalyst was corrected by subtracting corresponding background XPS spectrum (i.e. that of a support) from the former spectrum. The results after computer fitting (dotted line) show that the binding energy of Pt4d of Pt(0.5 wt%)/AlPO₄ was similar to that of Pt(1 wt%)/SiO₂ and was lower than that of Pt(0.5 wt%)/Al₂O₃ in which there is a strong metal–support interaction.¹² These results indicate that metal–support interaction between Pt and AlPO₄ was weak, viz. the Pt is metallic.

It is reported that in C_3H_6 -SCR over Pt-loaded catalysts, changing the support from Al₂O₃ to SiO₂ results in temperatures of C_3H_6 light-off and of maximum NO_x conversion, shifting to lower temperatures for samples of comparable metal dispersion.¹³ The lower light-off temperature obtained with Pt/SiO₂ compared to Pt/Al₂O₃ has also been reported for hydrocarbon combustion (i.e. in the absence of NO_x) and has been at-



Figure 3. Conversions of NO to NO₂ in the reaction of NO with O₂ over $Pt(0.5 \text{ wt\%})/AlPO_4$ (\bigcirc) and $Pt(0.5 \text{ wt\%})/Al_2O_3$ (\bullet).



Figure 4. XPS Pt4d spectra of $Pt/AlPO_4$, Pt/Al_2O_3 , and Pt/SiO_2 .

tributed, in the case of Pt/Al_2O_3 , to the strong metal–support interaction deactivating the Pt.¹² As the metal–support interaction between Pt and AlPO₄ has been also found to be weak, we are considering that metallic Pt of Pt/AlPO₄ is responsible for higher DeNO_x activity as follows: The metallic Pt having high oxidation activity results in effective activation of both C₃H₆ and NO, and eventually greater formation of N₂ in C₃H₆-SCR. In addition, fortunately the presence of NO inhibits combustion of C₃H₆ in this reaction system. In conclusion, Pt/ AlPO₄ is likely to be a more promising catalyst than Pt/ Al₂O₃^{14,15} for HC-SCR.

References

- M. Iwamoto and H. Yahiro, Catal. Today, 22, 5 (1994).
- 2 M. Iwamoto, H. Yahiro, S. Shundo, Y. Yuu, and N. Mizuno, *Appl. Catal.*, 69, L15 (1991).
- 3 S. Sato, Y. Yuu, H. Yahiro, N. Mizuno, and M. Iwamoto, *Appl. Catal.*, 70, L1 (1991).
- 4 W. Held, A. König, T. Richter, and L. Puppe, SAE Paper 900496 (1990).
- 5 H. Hamada, Catal. Today, 22, 21 (1994).
- 6 Y. Kintaichi, H. Hamada, M. Tabata, M. Sasaki, and T. Ito, *Catal. Lett.*, 6, 239 (1990); H. Hamada, Y. Kintaichi, M. Sasaki, T. Ito, and M. Tabata, *Appl. Catal.*, 64, L1 (1990).
- 7 A. Tada, Mater. Chem. Phys., 17, 145 (1987).
- 8 H. Itoh, N. Okazaki, Y. Imizu, and A. Tada, *Phosphorus Res. Bull.*, 4, 19 (1994).
- 9 K. Yaginuma, M. Seki, J. Shinoda, R. Fujii, N. Okazaki, and A. Tada, *Phosphorus Res. Bull.*, 14, 123 (2003).
- M. Iwamoto, A. M. Hernandez, and T. Zengyo, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 37.
- 11 M. Inaba, Y. Kintaichi, and H. Hamada, Catal. Lett., 36, 223 (1996).
- 12 C. P. Hubbard, K. Otto, H. s. Gandhi, and K. Y. S. Ng, J. Catal., 144, 484 (1993).
- 13 R. Burch and T. C. Watling, Catal. Lett., 43, 19 (1997).
- 14 M. Sasaki, H. Hamada, Y. Kintaichi, and T. Ito, *Catal. Lett.*, 15, 297 (1992).
- 15 R. Burch, J. A. Sullivan, and T. C. Watling, Catal. Today, 42, 13 (1998).